Assurance Summary (SBC)

VERSION 1 24.11.2021



| 1 - SCHEME DETAILS | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Project Name | Conisbrough Rail Station Park & Ride Extension | Type of funding | Grant |
| Grant Recipient | SYMCA | Total Scheme Cost | £1,972,048 |
| MCA Executive Board | TEB | MCA Funding | £1,972,048 |
| Programme name | CRSTS | % MCA Allocation | 100% |
| Current Gateway Stage | SBC | MCA Development costs | £88,820 |
| | | % of total MCA allocation | 12% (of the CRSTS budget |
| | | | of £750k) |

2 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Funding of £1,972,048 (including £88,820 development costs) is requested to deliver:

- An extension to Conisbrough Station car park (from 17 spaces to 50 spaces)
- Provision of secure cycle storage
- EV charging points
- Improved lighting and CCTV
- Improved pedestrian and cycleways to access the station entrance
- Improved signage and wayfinding

The total scheme funding is not confirmed, with the CRSTS allocation being c.£750,000 which is c.£1.2m less than the estimated total scheme costs. The promoter has noted that the costs will be reviewed if the SBC is approved, as well as alternative funding options considered.

3. STRATEGIC CASE

| Project rationale | The rationale for the scheme is based around the following themes: • Deprivation in Conisbrough - by improving access to the station, more residents will be able to access a wider range of employment opportunities, as well as training and educational services, contributing to improving the deprivations currently being faced. • Higher prevalence of health conditions in Conisbrough - the physical and mental health of residents can be improved by encouraging increased physical activity through improving access to the station via active travel modes. • Safety - The scheme proposes improved lighting and CCTV within the Conisbrough Station vicinity, as well as providing secure cycle storage, improving safety. • Poor public transport in Conisbrough - Improving the number of parking spaces and facilities at the station will allow more people to access the station. Although it is not mentioned in the SBC, the promoter has explained through PMO that a key rationale for the scheme is to reduce the on-street parking from the areas surrounding the station car park where cars currently park due to a lack of space. |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Strategic fit | Please see annex 1 below. |
| Proposed outcomes 4. VALUE FOR MONEY | To be determined at next stage. |

4. VALUE FOR MONEY

Unknown at this stage. Further information will be included at the next stage when modelling is completed.

5. RISK

Have the key risks and mitigation of these been identified?

Yes. The top 5 key risks are:

| | No. | Risk | Likelihood (High, Med, | Impact (High, Med, | Mitigation | Owner | |
|---|-----|------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------|--|
| ı | | | Low) | Low) | | | |

| 1 | Failure to secure planning permission | Low | High | Consultation with public and local residents. Early liaison with planning officer | SYMCA PM |
|---|--|--------|--------|---|-------------|
| 2 | Network Rail - Landlords Consent/Station Change | Low | Medium | Early engagement with Network Rail | Northern PM |
| 3 | Network Rail – Asset Protection requirements | Medium | Medium | Early engagement with Network Rail | SYMCA PM |
| 4 | Unforeseen Ground Conditions | Medium | Low | Site investigations as part of preliminary design | SYMCA PM |
| 5 | Network Rail – Design Approvals | Medium | High | Early engagement with Network Rail | SYMCA PM |

6. DELIVERY

Is the timetable for delivery reasonable and has the promoter identified opportunities for acceleration?

Work on site is scheduled to commence in May24 with works complete in Sep24. Opportunities for acceleration are reliant on the engagement of Network Rail and approvals / consents where appropriate.

Is the procurement strategy clear with defined milestones?

No. The procurement strategy is still to be defined.

What is the level of cost certainty and is this sufficient at this stage of the assurance process?

Cost certainty is listed as 60% which is sufficient for this stage. At the next stage a higher level of cost certainty will be important given the increases since the scheme was outlined for the CRSTS bid.

Has the promoter demonstrated clear project governance and identified the SRO?

The information provided is appropriate for this stage.

Has the SRO or other appropriate Officer signed off this business case?

Yes.

Annex 1 – Strategic Policy Fit

To what extent does the project meet the MCA's strategic objectives as set out in the of the MCA Corporate Plan 2021-22?

| Outcome | Strategic Objective | R/A/G Rating | Comments |
|---|---|-----------------|---|
| Stronger | Leading an economic transformation by: | | N/A |
| Achieve sustained good growth, underpinned by productivity gains | creating not just a bigger economy but a better one: higher- tech, higher skill, and higher-value - backing wealth and job creators | | |
| that exceed the UK average | enabling businesses to survive, adapt and thrive and be more innovative and resilient as we come out of the pandemic and resulting economic downturn | | Increase in the number of businesses located in the area due to improved connectivity and accessibility offered at the local station, driving economic investment in the area. Sustainable interventions will improve profile of the station compared to surrounding stations, making it a more attractive place for more businesses to grow in comparison to the population. |
| | stimulating local economies by investing in the infrastructure, transport and digital capabilities to create jobs and transform places | | Increase in the number of high growth businesses located in the area due to improved connectivity and accessibility offered at the local station, driving economic investment in the area. |
| Greener Drive forward environmental sustainability to achieve our net- zero carbon target by 2040 | Leading a green transformation by: 4. decarbonising our economy, regenerating the natural environment and accelerating Net Zero Carbon transition | | Increase in the number of passengers travelling by rail. Reduction in the number of vehicle km's travelled. Increase in the use of EV's to reduce carbon intensity of transport network. |
| | capitalising on technological and scientific capabilities to improve the resilience and quantum of clean energy supply, storage, distribution and usage | | N/A |
| | revolutionising transport, getting South Yorkshire moving by foot, bike, bus, tram and train | | This scheme will provide enhanced cycle and walking infrastructure alongside the car park expansion, which will |

| | | encourage a shift to active travel modes to access the station. |
|---|---|---|
| Fairer | Leading a wellbeing and inclusion transformation by: | |
| Unlock prosperity by eliminating the wage gap and | raising quality of life, reducing inequality, and widening opportunity for South Yorkshire people | Reduction in the mortality rate of Conisbrough through increased physical activity from walking and cycling. |
| health inequalities between South Yorkshire and the | equipping people to contribute to and benefit from economic prosperity | Increase in the number of people employed in higher skilled jobs through accessing education and training opportunities. |
| national average | 9. supporting people to improve their skills, get back to work, remain in or progress in work, or set up in business and thereby accelerate social mobility | Increase in the number of people achieving higher qualifications through improved connectivity and accessibility to further education establishments. |

8. RECOMMENDATION AND CONDITIONS

| Recommendation | Proceed to next stage | |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Payment Basis | Defrayal | |
| Conditions of Award (including clawback clauses) | | |

Information required to be included in the next stage (OBC or FBC):

- Improved cost certainty will be important due to the level of increase from the original CRSTS bid to the SBC.
- The demand forecasting should include pre-covid boarding data and split of access modes.
- It should be ensured that the modelling considers induced car demand and therefore the potential for no reduction in on street parking.
- The objectives need to be made into SMART objectives.
- Further information should be included in relation to whether measures to prohibit on street parking have been considered.